



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,322	08/02/2005	Gordon Cook	4140-0111PUS1	9223
2292	7590	03/04/2008		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER LEE, CLOUD K	
			ART UNIT 3753	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 03/04/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/511,322

Applicant(s)

COOK ET AL.

Examiner

CLOUD K. LEE

Art Unit

3753

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CLOUD K. LEE.

(3) Gregory Huson.

(2) Robert Webster.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Linden (US Patent No. 4,730,635).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that Linden fails to disclose the valve closure member in the closed position under a pressure differential as between that applied to one side of the closure member by said fluid flow through the inlet port acting to lift the closure member off the valve seat. Agreement has reached during interview. Applicant's representative agrees to insert "flexible" before "valve closure member" in order to distinguish the prior arts of record. Applicant's representative also agrees to remove lines 12-15 in claim 1 in order to overcome 112 1<sup>st</sup> rejection set forth the Office Action filed on 10/18/07. Applicant's represent agrees to file an After Final Amendment. The Examiner agrees to enter the After Final Amendment and update the search in the next Office Action.

Eng 2/1/08  
SPE AU 3753